

TEEN PARENT PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 2001
SIX MONTH UPDATE (APRIL 2001 - SEPTEMBER 2001)

**Data Prepared by the Evaluation Section
Policy Analysis and Program Evaluation Division
Budget, Analysis and Financial Management Administration
Michigan Family Independence Agency**

May 2002

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Michigan Family Independence Agency's (MFIA) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program began October 1, 1994. This document represents the second six-month update for FY 00-01 (i.e., April 2001 through September 2001) and is comprised of fifteen tables, highlights of which are presented below.

- During this six-month period, 786 new participants entered the program.
- 21.4% of the participants were referred to the program by their local FIA offices.
- In terms of race/ethnicity,
 - 64.5% of the participants were African American.
 - 29.2% of the participants were white.
 - 3.8% of the participants were Hispanic.
 - 0.4% of the participants were Native American.
 - 0.3% of the participants were Asian.
- The average age of the participants was 18.10 years.
- With the new contract, providers have the option of providing teen father services. A number of sites exercised this option, with males comprising 6.6% of the participants.
- 94.9% of the participants were single.
- 49.4% of the participants were pregnant (or pregnant and parenting) upon entering the program, with 94.7% of those receiving prenatal care at that time.
- 59.7% of the teens were parenting (or pregnant and parenting), with 82.1% of them parenting one child, 16.2% parenting two children, 1.9% parenting three children, and 0.2% parenting four children.
- On average, the highest grade completed by the teens was 10.2.
- At the time of entering the program (note, duplicate responses were possible: e.g., a person could be identified as being in GED training and school simultaneously),
 - 41.7% of the participants were enrolled in school.
 - 5.3% of the participants were enrolled in GED training.
 - 3.9% of the participants were GED holders.
 - 14.8% of the participants were high school graduates.
- 19.8% of the participants were employed at the time they entered the program, averaging 27.7 hours of work a week at an average hourly rate of \$6.12.
- 31.6% of the participants were not involved in education **or** employment activities at the time they entered the program.

TEEN PARENT PROGRAM

Fiscal Year 2001

Six Month Update

April 2001 - September 2001

The Michigan Family Independence Agency's (MFIA) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program (TPP) began October 1, 1994. This document represents the second six-month update for FY 00-01. Specifically, the following tables summarize intake information about those individuals who entered the program during the latter six months of fiscal year 2001, namely, April 2001 through September 2001.

The program continues to operate via twenty-one sites (21) in eighteen (18) counties. The specific counties being served by the program include Berrien, Calhoun, Chippewa, Clare, Genesee, Ingham, Jackson, Kalamazoo¹, Kent, Lake, Montcalm, Muskegon, Newaygo, Ogemaw, Oakland, Saginaw, Van Buren, and Wayne, which is home to four (4) sites.

A new three-year contract, which began March 2001, was awarded to the sites in February 2001. As a result of the RFQ process, the contracts for the following four counties were awarded to new service providers: Berrien, Jackson, Newaygo, and Wayne (one new provider).

PART I: ENTRANCE INTO THE PROGRAM

Table 1 presents the total number of clients who entered the teen parent program between April 1, 2001, and September 30, 2001. During this six-month period, 786 new clients entered the program.

Table 1
NUMBER OF CLIENTS

NUMBER OF CLIENTS	MONTH							FY01 TOTAL	FY00 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Number of Clients Entering the Program During the Month	144	107	110	120	140	165	786	1249	974

¹ The program associated with Kalamazoo County did not begin enrolling participants until November 2001.

Table 2 identifies the sources responsible for referring the clients to the program. Referrals received from the Family Independence Agency (FIA) were to be given top priority. As can be seen, 21.4% (167) of the referrals during this six month period were from the FIA. This was surpassed by referrals from some “other” source (see footnote, below, for details regarding “other” referral sources), which accounted for 33.8% (264) of the referrals. Meanwhile, rounding out the top three referral sources was “health care provider” which accounted for 15.2% (119) of the referrals. The remaining 29.6% of the individuals were referred to the program by such sources as public/community health agencies, community agencies, mental health agencies, and schools.

Table 2
REFERRAL SOURCE

REFERRAL SOURCE	MONTH							FY01 TOTAL	FY00 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
FIA	24	23	31	19	41	29	167 (21.4%)	310 (24.9%)	336 (34.6%)
Health Care Provider	15	19	18	18	20	29	119 (15.2%)	156 (12.5%)	73 (7.5%)
Public/Community Health	21	10	13	22	17	8	91 (11.6%)	135 (10.8%)	131 (13.5%)
Community Agency	10	12	15	18	20	21	96 (12.3%)	144 (11.6%)	84 (8.7%)
Mental Health	0	0	0	1	0	0	1 (0.1%)	4 (0.3%)	4 (0.4%)
School	19	8	2	1	3	11	44 (5.6%)	122 (9.8%)	118 (12.2%)
Other ²	53	35	30	40	39	67	264 (33.8%)	374 (30.0%)	235 (23.2%)
TOTALS	142	107	109	119	140	165	782 (100.0%)	1245 (100.0%) ³	971 (100.0%)
Missing ⁴	2	0	1	1	0	0	4	4	3

² "Other" responses given included the following: self, friend, relative, another program participant, was a former program participant, the TPP agency, court system, probation officer, supportive housing, Healthy Beginnings Program, Foster Care, Families First, Even Start, Yellow Pages, flyer, etc.

³ In this and subsequent tables, total may not equal 100.0% due to rounding error.

⁴ Missing, in this and subsequent tables, refers to information that was unavailable at time of reporting.

PART II: CLIENT DEMOGRAPHICS

Table 3 presents the racial/ethnic breakdown of clients entering the program during the latter six months of fiscal year 2001. Accordingly, 64.5% (505) of the individuals were African American, 24.2% (229) were white, 3.8% (30) were Hispanic, 0.4% (3) were Native American, and 0.3% (2) were Asian. The “other” responses served to identify fourteen individuals as multi-racial.

Table 3
RACE/ETHNICITY

RACE/ETHNICITY	MONTH							FY01 TOTAL	FY00 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
White	46	28	30	26	46	43	229 (24.2%)	381 (30.8%)	313 (32.4%)
African American	90	71	74	77	87	106	505 (64.5%)	769 (61.8%)	562 (58.2%)
Native American	1	0	0	1	0	1	3 (0.4%)	9 (0.7%)	19 (2.0%)
Hispanic	2	7	6	2	4	9	30 (3.8%)	58 (4.7%)	59 (6.1%)
Asian	1	0	0	0	1	0	2 (0.3%)	5 (0.4%)	1 (0.1%)
Other	2	1	0	4	2	5	14 (1.8%)	22 (1.8%)	12 (1.2%)
TOTALS	144	107	110	120	140	164	783 (100.0%)	1244 (100.0%)	966 (100.0%)
Missing	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	5	8

With the new contract, providers have the option of providing services to teen fathers. **Table 4** presents the gender breakdown of clients entering the program during the latter six months of fiscal year 2001. Accordingly, 93.4% (734) of the individuals were female, and 6.6% (52) were male.

Table 4
GENDER⁵

	MONTH							FY01 (LATTER 6 MONTHS)	FY00 TOTAL
GENDER	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Female	132	105	104	116	127	150	734 (93.4%)	734 (93.4%)	N/A
Male	12	2	6	4	13	15	52 (6.6%)	52 (6.6%)	N/A
TOTALS	144	107	110	120	140	165	786 (100.0%)	786 (100.0%)	N/A

⁵Information related to gender was first collected in April 2001.

Table 5 displays the age distribution of clients entering the program during the latter six months of fiscal year 2001, with the overall average age being 18.10 years. For those clients entering the program during the months of April, May, and June 2001, age was calculated as of June 30, 2001, with the average age being 18.05 years. Meanwhile, for those who entered during the months of July, August, and September 2001, age was calculated as of September 30, 2001, with the average age being 18.15 years. Please note, beginning with the new contract, a number of sites opted to provide teen father services to males through age 22, thus resulting in the use of an additional age category.

Table 5
AGE DISTRIBUTION OF CLIENTS

AGE DISTRIBUTION OF CLIENTS	MONTH							FY01 TOTAL	FY00 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Twelve	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0.2%)	3 (0.3%)
Thirteen	0	1	0	0	2	0	5 (0.6%)	8 (0.7%)	9 (0.9%)
Fourteen	5	0	2	2	7	4	20 (2.6%)	31 (2.5%)	42 (4.4%)
Fifteen	6	6	11	6	4	15	48 (6.2%)	85 (7.0%)	99 (10.3%)
Sixteen	13	19	18	10	20	21	101 (13.1%)	195 (16.0%)	182 (19.0%)
Seventeen	36	31	28	31	30	38	194 (25.2%)	312 (25.5%)	268 (28.0%)
Eighteen	36	23	21	29	37	35	181 (23.5%)	286 (23.4%)	178 (18.6%)
Nineteen	25	14	22	24	26	24	135 (17.5%)	201 (16.4%)	140 (14.6%)
Twenty	17	10	4	9	8	15	63 (8.2%)	79 (6.5%)	37 (3.9%)
Twenty-one and over	3	0	2	6	5	7	23 (3.0%)	23 (1.9%)	0
TOTALS	141	104	108	118	139	160	770 (100.0%)	1222 (100.0%)	958 (100.0%)
Missing	3	3	2	2	1	5	16	27	16

Table 6 displays the breakdown of age by gender. The average female participant was 18 years old, and the average male participant was 19.64 years old.

Table 6
AGE BY GENDER⁶

AGE BY GENDER	LATTER SIX MONTHS - FISCAL YEAR 00-01				FY01 % (latter six months)	FY00 TOTAL %
	% 16 Years and Under	% 17 Years	% 18 Years and Over	Totals (N)		
Female	98.3	96.4	90.3	93.6 (721)	93.6 (721)	NA
Male	1.7	3.6	9.7	6.4 (49)	6.4 (49)	NA
TOTALS (N)	100.0 (174)	100.0 (194)	100.0 (402)	100.0 (770)	100.0 (770)	NA

⁶There were sixteen cases for which information about age was missing.

Table 7 displays the marital status of the clients. Accordingly, 94.9% (728) were single, 4.6% (35) were married, and one participant (0.1%) was divorced. The “other” response served to identify three individuals (0.4%) as separated.

Of the thirty-five individuals who were married, twenty-two were white, eight were African American, four were Hispanic, and one was Asian. In terms of age, three were sixteen years old or younger, seven were seventeen years old, and twenty-five were eighteen years old or older.

Table 7
MARITAL STATUS

MARITAL STATUS	MONTH							FY01 TOTAL	FY00 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Single	123	96	106	113	134	156	728 (94.9%)	1161 (95.1%)	928 (96.5%)
Married	9	7	0	7	5	7	35 (4.6%)	54 (4.4%)	32 (3.3%)
Divorced	0	0	1	0	0	0	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.1%)	0
Other	0	0	1	0	1	1	3 (0.4%)	5 (0.4%)	2 (0.2%)
TOTALS	132	103	108	120	140	164	767 (100.0%)	1221 (100.0%)	962 (100.0%)
Missing	12	4	2	0	0	1	19	28	12

PART III: PREGNANCY AND PARENTING INFORMATION

Table 8 reveals the number of clients who were pregnant, parenting, or pregnant **and** parenting at time of intake. Accordingly, 40.2% (315) were pregnant, 50.6% (397) were parenting, and 9.2% (72) were pregnant and parenting upon entering the program.

Table 8
PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS

PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE	MONTH							FY01 TOTAL	FY00 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Pregnant	53	38	43	54	54	73	315 (40.2%)	191 (41.4%)	409 (42.3%)
Parenting	75	62	59	57	72	72	397 (50.6%)	633 (50.8%)	504 (52.1%)
Pregnant and Parenting	16	6	8	9	14	19	72 (9.2%)	106 (8.5%)	55 (5.7%)
TOTALS	144	106	110	120	140	164	784 (100.0%)	1245 (100.0%)	968 (100.0%)
Missing	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	4	6

Meanwhile, of those pregnant upon entering the program, 94.7% were receiving prenatal care at that time, as shown in **Table 8A** below:

Table 8A
PRENATAL CARE

IF CLIENT WAS PREGNANT AT TIME OF INTAKE, WAS SHE RECEIVING PRENATAL CARE?	MONTH							FY01 TOTAL	FY00 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Yes	62	44	47	57	64	84	358 (94.7%)	563 (94.1%)	419 (93.7%)
No	3	0	2	4	4	7	20 (5.3%)	35 (5.9%)	28 (6.3%)
TOTALS	65	44	49	61	68	91	378 (100.0%)	598 (100.0%)	447 (100.0%)
Missing	4	0	2	2	0	1	9	14	17

In addition, the status of those parenting (or pregnant and parenting) may be further broken down in terms of the number of children they had at time of intake. These data are displayed in tables 8B and 8C. With respect to ages of the children, 75.3% (420) were one year or younger, 14.9% (83) were two years old, 6.1% (34) were three years old, 3.2% (18) were four years old, and 0.5% (3) were five years old or older.

According to **Table 8B**, 82.1% (321) of those parenting had one child, 15.9% (62) had two children, 1.8% (7) had three children, and 0.3% (1) had four children.

Table 8B
OF THOSE PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN

OF THOSE PARENTING AT TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER OF CHILDREN:	MONTH							FY01 TOTAL	FY00 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
One	56	51	49	47	58	60	321 (82.1%)	516 (82.8%)	441 (88.2%)
Two	14	11	8	9	10	10	62 (15.9%)	93 (14.9%)	51 (10.4%)
Three	3	0	0	0	4	0	7 (1.8%)	12 (1.9%)	6 (1.2%)
Four	1	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0.3%)	2 (0.3%)	1 (0.2%)
TOTALS	74	62	57	56	72	70	391 (100.0%)	623 (100.0%)	499 (100.0%)
Missing	1	0	2	1	0	2	6	10	5

Similarly, **Table 8C** reveals that 78.9% (56) of the individuals who were pregnant and parenting had one child, 18.3% (13) had two children, and 2.8% (2) had three children.

Table 8C
OF THOSE PREGNANT AND PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN

IF CLIENT WAS PREGNANT & PARENTING AT TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER OF CHILDREN:	MONTH							FY01 TOTAL	FY00 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
One	11	2	7	8	11	17	56 (78.9%)	85 (81.0%)	48 (87.3%)
Two	4	3	1	1	2	2	13 (18.3%)	17 (16.2%)	5 (9.1%)
Three	0	1	0	0	1	0	2 (2.8%)	3 (2.9%)	2 (3.6%)
TOTALS	15	6	8	9	14	19	71 (100.0%)	105 (100.0%)	55 (100.0%)
Missing	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0

PART IV: EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS

Tables 9 and 10 reveal the clients' educational and employment status at time of intake. Note that, on average, the highest grade completed by clients upon entering the program was 10.2.

A. School

The 312 individuals enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- Nineteen individuals were in both school and GED training.
- One individual had a GED certificate.
- Thirteen teens had a high school diploma.
- Fifty-three teens were working and going to school (including two who were also in GED training, and five who also had diplomas).
- On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 9.8.
- In terms of age, 38.1% were sixteen years old or younger, 30.9% were seventeen years old, and 30.9% were eighteen years old or older.

The 437 individuals who were not enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- Ninety-eight teens had a high school diploma.
- Twenty-eight participants had a GED certificate.
- Twenty-one individuals were in GED training.
- Ninety-three teens were employed (including forty who had their diploma, two who were in GED training, and six who had a GED certificate).
- On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 10.4.
- In terms of age, 11.0% were sixteen years old or younger, 21.1% were seventeen years old, and 67.9% were eighteen years old or older.

Of the thirty-seven cases for whom information about school enrollment was missing, thirty-four were similarly missing responses to the remaining questions regarding education and employment. Two cases, while missing information about school enrollment and other educational activities, did indicate employment. One case had a mixture of missing and negative responses to the remaining questions regarding education and employment.

B. GED Training

Of the forty individuals in GED training, nineteen were also in school and seven were working (including two who were also attending school). In terms of age, 2.5% were sixteen years old or younger, 17.5% were seventeen years old, and 80.0% were eighteen years old or older.

C. GED Certificate

Twenty-nine individuals were identified as having a GED certificate, one of whom was continuing her education and six of whom were working.

D. High School Diploma

The 111 individuals who had a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- Thirteen teens were continuing their education (including five who were also working).
- Forty-five teens were working, including five who were also continuing their education.

The 638 individuals who did not have a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- 299 teens were enrolled in school.
- Forty teens were in GED training (including nineteen who were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Twenty-nine teens, while lacking a diploma, did have a GED certificate.
- 101 individuals, who lacked a high school diploma, were working at the time they entered the program.

For 248 individuals, or 31.6% of those who entered the program during the latter six months of fiscal year 2001, negative responses were received for each question regarding education **and** employment. In other words, they were neither enrolled in school or GED training, lacked a GED certificate or high school diploma, and were not employed. In terms of age, 17.8% of these individuals were sixteen years old or younger, 29.8% were seventeen years old, and 52.5% were eighteen years old or older.

Table 9
EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE

CLIENT'S EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE	MONTH							FY01 TOTAL	FY00 TOTAL
A. Was the client in school at intake?	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Yes	60	36	41	46	55	74	312 (41.7%)	571 (48.0%)	538 (57.4%)
No	68	64	64	71	82	88	437 (58.3%)	619 (52.0%)	400 (42.6%)
TOTALS (Missing)	128 (16)	100 (7)	105 (5)	117 (3)	137 (3)	162 (3)	749 (37) (100.0%)	1190 (59) (100.0%)	938 (36) (100.0%)
B. Was the client in GED training?	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	01 Total	00 Total
Yes	9	5	5	5	8	8	40 (5.3%)	72 (6.1%)	58 (6.2%)
No	119	95	100	113	129	153	709 (94.7%)	1115 (93.9%)	884 (93.8%)
TOTALS (Missing)	128 (16)	100 (7)	105 (5)	118 (2)	137 (3)	161 (4)	749 (37) (100.0%)	1187 (62) (100.0%)	942 (32) (100.0%)
C. Did the client have a GED?	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	01 Total	00 Total
Yes	5	2	1	5	4	12	29 (3.9%)	38 (3.2%)	8 (0.8%)
No	123	98	104	113	133	150	721 (96.1%)	1151 (96.8%)	937 (99.2%)
TOTALS (Missing)	128 (16)	100 (7)	105 (5)	118 (2)	137 (3)	162 (3)	750 (36) (100.0%)	1189 (60) (100.0%)	945 (29) (100.0%)
D. Did the client have a hs diploma?	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	01 Total	00 Total
Yes	15	9	18	28	17	24	111 (14.8%)	147 (12.4%)	62 (6.6%)
No	113	91	87	89	120	138	638 (85.2%)	1042 (87.6%)	883 (93.4%)
TOTALS (Missing)	128 (16)	100 (7)	105 (5)	117 (3)	137 (3)	162 (3)	749 (37) (100.0%)	1189 (60) (100.0%)	945 (29) (100.0%)

Table 10 indicates the number of participants who were employed at time of intake. Accordingly, 19.8%, or 148 individuals, had a job upon entering the teen parent program, whereas 80.2% (601) of the individuals were unemployed.

Table 10
EMPLOYMENT STATUS

WAS THE CLIENT WORKING AT TIME OF INTAKE?	MONTH							FY01 TOTAL	FY00 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Yes	24	19	12	26	37	30	148 (19.8%)	239 (20.2%)	191 (20.4%)
No	104	79	93	93	100	132	601 (80.2%)	943 (79.8%)	745 (79.6%)
TOTALS	128	98	105	119	137	162	749 (100.0%)	1182 (100.0%)	936 (100.0%)
Missing	16	9	5	1	3	3	37	67	38

For the 148 teens employed at time of entry into the program, the average weekly hours worked was 27.7 and the average hourly wage was \$6.12. In addition, the average age of those employed was 18.73 years. Furthermore,

- Forty-five individuals had a high school diploma (five of whom were also continuing their education).
- Six teens had a GED.
- Seven teens were in GED training (two of whom were also identified as enrolled in school).
- Fifty-three individuals were in school (two of whom were also in GED training and five of whom had a diploma).
- Forty-two teens were working, but were not in school or GED training, nor did they have a diploma or GED. In addition, for two employed teens, information about education was missing.

The 601 individuals who were not working at time of program entry may further be described in the following manner:

- Of the teens not working, 256 were enrolled in school (including seventeen who were also in GED training, eight who had a high school diploma, and one who had a GED certificate).
- Thirty-three teens were in GED training (seventeen of whom were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Sixty-six individuals had a high school diploma (eight of whom were also continuing their education).
- Twenty-three teens had a GED certificate (one of whom was also identified as continuing her education).

PART V: LIVING ARRANGEMENT

Table 11, on the following page, presents the clients' living arrangements upon entering the program. As indicated, 48.7% of the individuals who entered the program during the latter six months of FY00-01 resided with their parent(s). This was followed by 15.9% living with other relative(s), and 10.1% living independently. The remaining 25.3% was scattered throughout the remaining available responses.

Table 12, on page 19, presents a breakdown of living arrangements in terms of age. For example, 67.8% of those teens aged sixteen years or younger were residing with their parent(s) upon entering the program. Meanwhile, 55.3% of those aged seventeen and 36.5% of those aged eighteen or older were living with their parents.

- All totaled, 90.6% of those teens aged sixteen or younger resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, spouse, or in formal placement. Similarly, 83.7% of those aged seventeen resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, spouse, or in formal placement.
- In Table 11 and Table 12, "other" responses given included living with: friend/friend's family, family friend, moves between neighbors and parents, another teen parent (with that teen's father of baby and mother), father of baby's mother/grandmother, roommate, relative during the day and boyfriend at night, supportive housing program, in tent/camper, etc.

Table 11
LIVING ARRANGEMENT

WHAT WAS THE CLIENT'S LIVING ARRANGEMENT AT TIME OF INTAKE?	MONTH							FY01 TOTAL	FY00 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
w/Parents	67	46	52	63	74	76	378 (48.7%)	638 (51.9%)	559 (58.2%)
w/Guardian	4	3	5	6	4	7	29 (3.7%)	48 (3.9%)	36 (3.7%)
w/Other relative	22	17	16	12	18	38	123 (15.9%)	176 (14.3%)	127 (13.2%)
w/Partner	8	4	6	10	7	12	47 (6.1%)	73 (5.9%)	55 (5.7%)
w/Spouse	4	6	0	5	2	5	22 (2.8%)	29 (2.4%)	16 (1.7%)
Formal placement	2	7	2	1	2	2	16 (2.1%)	26 (2.1%)	21 (2.2%)
Independently	21	11	13	7	16	10	78 (10.1%)	115 (9.3%)	56 (5.8%)
Homeless	1	2	3	3	2	2	13 (1.7%)	18 (1.5%)	7 (0.7%)
w/Partner (in partner's family's home)	7	4	3	9	9	7	39 (5.0%)	59 (4.8%)	39 (4.1%)
Other	5	4	7	3	6	6	31 (4.0%)	48 (3.9%)	45 (4.7%)
TOTALS	141	104	107	119	140	165	776 (100.0%)	1230 (100.0%)	961 (100.0%)
Missing	3	3	3	1	0	0	10	19	13

Table 12
AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT⁷

AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT	LATTER SIX MONTHS - FISCAL YEAR 00-01				FY01 TOTAL %	FY00 TOTAL %
	% 16 Years and Under	% 17 Years	% 18 Years and Over	Totals (N)		
w/Parents	67.8	55.3	36.5	48.2 (367)	51.8 (624)	57.9 (547)
w/Guardian	7.6	4.7	1.8	3.8 (29)	3.9 (47)	3.9 (36)
w/Other relative	11.1	18.4	17.3	16.2 (123)	14.5 (175)	13.3 (126)
w/Partner	1.2	4.2	9.0	6.0 (46)	5.9 (71)	5.7 (54)
w/Spouse	1.2	2.1	4.0	2.9 (22)	2.4 (29)	1.7 (16)
Formal placement	2.9	3.2	1.3	2.1 (16)	2.2 (26)	2.2 (21)
Independently	1.8	0.0	18.8	10.2 (78)	9.3 (112)	5.9 (56)
Homeless	1.2	1.6	2.0	1.7 (13)	1.5 (18)	0.7 (7)
w/Partner (in partner's family's home)	2.9	7.9	4.5	5.0 (38)	4.8 (58)	4.0 (38)
Other	2.3	2.6	5.0	3.8 (29)	3.7 (45)	4.7 (44)
TOTALS (N)	100.0 (171)	100.0 (190)	100.0 (400)	100.0 (761)	100.0 (1205)	100.0 (945)

⁷ For the latter six months of fiscal year 2000-2001, there were twenty-five individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown, bringing the fiscal year total for unknown cases to forty-four. NOTE: For FY 99-00, there were twenty-nine individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown.